The evolution of theories of etiology in epilepsy makes an interesting study at many levels: some theories reflect social and philosophical attitude; some, widely believed and extensively written about at the time, have proved totally erroneous and now even appear ridiculous; and others show scientific insight now lost and worth reappraisal. Much can be learned also from the constructs with which our predecessors conceptualized the process of epilepsy, not least because it puts into perspective our current thought. In this chapter, the theories of etiology for the 100 years since the time of John Hughlings Jackson, whose writing has often been said to announce the dawn of modern epileptology, will be outlined. The chapter ends with William Lennox, a natural break as in many ways Lennox sums up the work of the previous century. After Lennox, the new molecular biology, imaging, and genetic techniques have proved powerful tools in the exploration of etiology and have greatly changed our understanding in the field. Nevertheless, some concepts and ideas of the pre-Lennox period have resonance today and are worth re-evaluation.

In earlier times, epilepsy was almost universally considered to be the result of supernatural or magic forces, or possession by evil spirits or the devil. Leading medical thinkers repeatedly rounded on such superstitious explanations; Hippocrates wrote for instance both that epilepsy was an organic disease of the brain and that "its origin is hereditary, like that of other diseases." Galen divided epilepsy into three etiological groups (remarkably analogous to theories prevalent today): a dyscrasia of the humors of the brain; a stimulation of the brain by an irritating substance brought into the brain from the body (the convulsion being the brain’s efforts to repel the irritant); and the invasion of the brain by a pathological humor formed in the extremities. Nevertheless, despite these physical explanations, the majority of physicians and of the public continued to accept supernatural theories right up to the mid nineteenth century and a few continue to do so. In the early nineteenth century, other theories of etiology began to take shape, not least those revolving around heredity. This earlier history is well described by Temkin (1945), whose detailed survey ends in large part in the mid nineteenth century. This chapter starts at this point, when the modern age of epileptology can be said to have been entered.

Theories of the causation of epilepsy
1860–1907

Concepts of etiology in the mid nineteenth century

In the middle of the nineteenth century, there was a recrudescence of interest in epilepsy and its causes, particularly in neurological circles. A number of influential books were written, especially by the English neurologists, which demonstrate a more clinical and physiological view than was previously the case. The first of these books, Epilepsy and Epileptiform Seizures, by Edward Sieveking (1861) (Fig. 1.1) provides an interesting starting point for our survey, representing as it does a transition to modern thought. His discussion of etiology starts with a consideration of demonic possession, which he dismisses. The “causes of epilepsy” are divided into “predisposing and exciting components,” a common formulation of the period, and Sieveking articulated what was a predominate theory of the time, that the predisposing causes were largely inherited, and formed the epileptic diathesis, which he defined using the following rather vivid analogy: “Diathesis may be compared to combustible material of greater or less inflammability, which differs in the facility with which it will take fire, but will infallibly do so if a flame of sufficient intensity is brought into contact with it” (Sieveking 1861). Amongst the predisposing causes, he found “hereditary influences are very palpable” and cites Herpin who “amongst 68 patients with epilepsy found 78 relatives who laboured under some affection of the nervous system” (Table 1.1). The diathesis was embedded in the concept of the “neurological taint,” a theory of great influence at the time, and of which more below. However, other mechanisms were also evident to Sieveking who discussed lengthily on albuminuria, but particularly constipation and other derangement of the bowels. He also emphasized
the importance of sexual disturbances as a cause of epilepsy, both predisposing and exciting: “Although the unanimous consent of all writers on epilepsy demonstrates the truth of the statement that in this disease, the sexual organs are very frequently at fault . . . it is by no means determined in how far sexual derangements are to be regarded as a predisposing or exciting cause” and he then cites the ancient proverb attributed to Galen: “coitus brevis epilepsia est.” He believed that sexual derangement “enfeebled the system, and by producing excitability gives rise to the epileptic paroxysm.” Masturbation was a particular cause, and Sieveking wrote that in nine of 52 of his cases, he found “the sexual system was in a state of great excitement, owing to recent or former masturbation.” The theory that sexual practices predisposed to epilepsy was one held by many earlier authors and was again widely but not universally held at this time. Sieveking noted that the influence of the menstrual cycle was most important in females and masturbation in males (evidence of the latter in 31%). Interestingly Sieveking makes no mention of “degeneracy,” nor of Morel whose work was published contemporaneously, reflecting the then divergent paths of British and Continental epileptology (see below).

### J. Russell Reynolds

In the same year that Sieveking’s book appeared, Reynolds (Fig. 1.2), another leading London neurologist, knighted and awarded an FRS, published his book *Epilepsy: Its Symptoms, Treatment and Relation to Other Chronic Convulsive Diseases* (Reynolds 1861) which became extremely influential. Jackson, for instance, extensively cites the book and Gowers dedicated his own book of 1888 to Reynolds (“whose example stimulated and friendship encouraged”). Reynolds considered the "causes
The proximate cause is the same in all cases—an abnormal increase in the nutritive changes of the nervous system (a similar concept to the current emphasis on excitatory changes). He recognized that the remote causes may be very slight in some cases, and in others severe remote disease resulted in very insignificant epilepsy, that there were diverse remote causes but all were mediated through this defect of nutrition, and that the different forms of seizure were due to differing anatomical locations of the abnormal nervous centers—in all this, he anticipated Jackson.

He classified epilepsy into four categories, an excellent scheme worth recording here for its lessons for today’s nosologists (Table 1.2). In his category of idiopathic epilepsy, he included other inherited conditions of the neuropathic taint (see below), including mania, idiocy, paralysis, and insanity. He considered an inherited influence was present in 31% of his own cases. The category of “eccentric” epilepsy is very similar to our current concept of “acute symptomatic seizures” and Reynolds listed causes which included teething, worms, and poisons. Diathetic epilepsy seems to be a new category, in which the nutritive change is not confined to the brain but is part of the general systemic disturbance caused by toxemia or cachexia. The causes of cachexia he listed included: tuberculosis, scrophula, rachitis, syphilis, pyemia, anemia, alcoholism, lead poisoning, typhus, variola, and other exanthemata and diseases which alter nutrition such as pneumonia, carditis, and pericarditis. The term “symptomatic epilepsy” was reserved for epilepsies in which there was a contiguous structural brain disease, a term similar but more narrow than the usage today.

Reynolds viewed the manifestations of epilepsy as the summation of disturbances of structure and function, and considered that the proximate and remote causes cannot be separated from the disease itself. It is also notable that Reynolds considered that an emphasis on masturbation to be mistaken, and that the ideas of “some mysterious entity taking possession of the body” a theory “long since passed.” This then was the state of advanced thinking on etiology at the start of our period, when John Hughlings Jackson began to publish his work on epilepsy.

### Table 1.2 The classification of epilepsy devised by J. Russell Reynolds (1861)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Idiopathic epilepsy</td>
<td>An internal cause – a morbus per se. This may have a basis in heredity or conditions operating after birth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Eccentric epilepsy</td>
<td>Epilepsy due to some systemic disturbance which when cured will result in the cessation of seizures. Reynolds accepted that “eccentric convulsions” can be exacerbated by a predisposing tendency, and he proposed that they had a “reflex” basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Diathetic epilepsy</td>
<td>Epilepsy in which the convulsions are primarily due to cachexia or toxemia, and in which the nervous system is “involved in that general nutrition-change which is the essential element of the cachexia itself” and have their basis in a general not specific remote causes. These can include patients with an existing predisposition (or not) and other existing symptomatic causes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Symptomatic epilepsy</td>
<td>Epilepsy in which convulsions are due to &quot;more or less contiguous structural disease of the brain. Thus, an intracranial tumor, a chronic inflammatory condition of the meninges, softening or disintegration of the brain substance, or any other structural change in the nervous centres . . . may set up that peculiar interstitial or molecular change which is the immediate cause of convulsion.&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 1.3.** John Hughlings Jackson FRS (1835–1911), the father of modern epileptology, was physician at the National Hospital Queen Square from 1862 to 1906.
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**Table 1.1** Associated neurological conditions in 380 relatives of 68 epileptics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insanity</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melancholia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypochondriasis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysteria</td>
<td>2(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorea</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleepwalking</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nervous excitability</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoplexy</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral softening</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General paralysis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningitis and chronic hydrocephalus</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortal convulsions</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetanus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

\(^a\) I cannot but demur to this number, and it is incredible that not more than two relatives of 68 epileptics should have been hysterical.

Source: From Herpin, cited by Sieveking (1861).
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<td>An internal cause – a morbus per se. This may have a basis in heredity or conditions operating after birth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Eccentric epilepsy</td>
<td>Epilepsy due to some systemic disturbance which when cured will result in the cessation of seizures. Reynolds accepted that “eccentric convulsions” can be exacerbated by a predisposing tendency, and he proposed that they had a “reflex” basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Diathetic epilepsy</td>
<td>Epilepsy in which the convulsions are primarily due to cachexia or toxemia, and in which the nervous system is “involved in that general nutrition-change which is the essential element of the cachexia itself” and have their basis in a general not specific remote causes. These can include patients with an existing predisposition (or not) and other existing symptomatic causes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Symptomatic epilepsy</td>
<td>Epilepsy in which convulsions are due to &quot;more or less contiguous structural disease of the brain. Thus, an intracranial tumor, a chronic inflammatory condition of the meninges, softening or disintegration of the brain substance, or any other structural change in the nervous centres … may set up that peculiar interstitial or molecular change which is the immediate cause of convulsion.&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

John Hughlings Jackson

The works of John Hughlings Jackson (Fig. 1.3) are generally agreed to have laid the foundations for much of modern epilepsy studies. An enormous contribution was his observation that “a convulsion is but a symptom, and implies only that there is an occasional, an excessive, and a disorderly discharge of nerve tissue on muscles” (1873). In his Lumlian lectures of 1890, he defined nervous discharge as the liberation of energy by nervous elements and the epileptic discharge as sudden, temporary and excessive in nature, a kind of explosive
discharge … it was “the physiological fulminate” like the gunpowder in a cannon, and just as gunpowder can store energy that is liberated when firing the gun, so the energy stored in nerve cells could be explosively liberated in an epileptic discharge. This definition of epileptic seizures has remained central, ever since, to all thought on the condition and was a remarkable insight. The reason for the abnormal levels of stored energy was deranged “nutrition” in Jackson’s view. He equated “cause” with “causal mechanism” and was in general not particularly interested in the question of etiology in the sense usual today; his focus was on theories of physiology. The only sustained piece of writing on causation was in his paper, published in 1874, entitled “On the scientific and empirical investigation of epilepsies” (Jackson 1874, pp. 162–273). He wrote that:

The confusion of two things physiology and pathology under one (pathology) leads to confusion in considering “causes”. Thus, for example, we hear it epigrammatically said that chorea is “only a symptom” and may depend on many causes. This is possibly true of pathological causation; in other words it may be granted that various abnormal nutritive processes may lead to that functional change in grey matter which, when established, admits occasional excessive discharge. But physiologically, that is to say, from the point of view of Function, there is but one cause of chorea – viz. instability of nerve tissue. Similarly in any epilepsy, there is but “one cause” physiologically speaking – viz. the instability of the grey matter, but an unknown number of causes if we mean pathological processes leading to that instability.

Jackson defined the term physiology in the narrow and specific meaning of:

the departure of the healthy function of nerve tissue. That function is to store up and to expend force. . . . In epilepsy, the cells store up large quantities and discharge abundantly on very slight provocation: there is what I call instability, or what is otherwise spoken of as increased excitability.

By the term pathology he meant “disordered nutrition” and in epilepsy (and excessive discharge) the pathological process was overnutrition which, in Jackson’s view, was often caused by congestion of small blood vessels following occlusion of other vessels. He did recognize that there were many possible contributing factors that may result in the vascular disturbance (and thus in overnutrition and thus in the discharge) and those that he mentioned were tubercle, cicatrix, tumor, syphiloma, or hemorrhagic or ischemic stroke. He also realized that there was often no visible cause. His discussion of etiology, though, was not on these conditions but on the nutritional and vascular disturbances itself. He also recognized, pari passu, that the position and hierarchical level of the discharging tissue in the nervous system determined the form of the epilepsy.

In summary, Jackson makes the novel and important point that in epileptogenic tissue, the nervous centers are hyperexcitable and that the mechanism by which external or internal factors result in an epileptic seizure is similar and mediated via vascular congestion resulting in metabolic changes in the cells (he defines this mechanism as “the cause of epilepsy”). Many different disease entities can result in this vascular imbalance, but this was not his focus. Furthermore, he held that predisposing factors such as heredity “set” the level of hyperexcitability in individual cases and determine the response of tissue to the stimulus of overnutrition. Like Reynolds and others before him, Jackson really only considered “idiopathic” epilepsy to be true epilepsy, and other forms (symptomatic or organic epilepsies) from the point of view of causation to be worthy of little specific study.

**William Gowers**

The next important published work on epilepsy was Sir William Gowers’ famous book, *Epilepsy and Other Chronic Convulsive Diseases* (Gowers 1881), the first edition of which was published in 1881 with a second edition in 1901, and his views were summarized in this and in his famous and gigantic textbook of neurology of 1888 (*A Manual of Diseases of the Nervous System*; Gowers 1888). Gowers (Fig. 1.4) took a much more empirical approach to causation than Jackson. He classified epilepsy into idiopathic epilepsy and organic epilepsy, and considered only idiopathic epilepsy to be “true epilepsy.”

The first chapter of his book was devoted to the causes of idiopathic epilepsy. He divided these into two types, predisposing causes and exciting causes, the former being “remote” and the latter “immediate” (interestingly a different usage than that of Reynolds for instance). The analogy of gunpowder was used
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Fig. 1.4. Sir William Gowers FRS (1845–1915) was appointed physician to the National Hospital Queen Square in 1872.
by Gowers (following Sieveking and Jackson) – the gunpowder being the predisposing cause and the spark the exciting cause. It is interesting to note that the terms “eccentric” and “diathetic,” used by Reynolds, do not appear in Gowers’ book, and his use of the term “reflex” has also changed.

Of the predisposing causes, by far the most important was “heredity.” As Gowers put it, “there are few diseases in the production of which inheritance has more manifest influence.” The concept of the “neuropathic trait” (the neuropathic tendency; see below) had taken a strong hold by then, and heredity was widely defined. As Gowers wrote:

> It is well known that the “neuropathic tendency” does not always manifest itself in the same form, but is not easy to discern the relation of its varieties … The chief morbid states (besides epilepsy itself) by which the same neuropathic tendency is manifested is insanity, and, to a much smaller degree, chorea, chronic hysteria, migraine, and some chronic forms of disease of the brain and of the spinal cord. Intemperance is probably also due, in many cases, to a neuropathic disposition.

Of 2400 cases investigated by Gowers, 40% had an inherited tendency in his view, and his later series had even higher rates. Gowers went on to say that, because of the neuropathic trait, epilepsy and insanity were almost interchangeable terms, with three-quarters of those with inherited insanity also having epilepsy. Other predisposing causes were age (74% of epilepsy developed in Gowers’ series before the age of 20 years), sex (female cases are greater in number; 52% of 2000 cases in Gowers’ series), and inherited syphilis but not other (indirect) inherited conditions such as rheumatism, phthisis, and gout (a common theory at the time). Consangunuity was considered to intensify existing tendencies and only to play a significant part in epilepsy when “neurotic heredity exists in both parents.”

The exciting causes in idiopathic epilepsy were, in Gowers’ opinion, secondary in importance to inherited causes:

> It may be again pointed out, to prevent misconception, that these exciting causes cannot be regarded as the essential causes of the disease except in a very small number of cases … The real cause of the disease is the morbid state of the nervous system.

Of 1665 cases, Gowers considered that a reasonable exciting cause could be found in 42% (696 cases). These exciting causes included: difficulty with labor, birth trauma, febrile convulsions (teething fits), rickets, organic lesions of the brain, mental emotion (fright, excitement, anxiety), acute diseases (measles, scarlet fever, typhus, typhoid, rheumatic fever, influenza, diarrhea), reflex seizures, asphyxia, lead poisoning, renal disease, anesthetics, disturbed menstruation, pregnancy, and syphilis. Gowers was doubtful about the relevance of masturbation. Fright, excitement, and anxiety were the most potent of the exciting causes in Gowers’ view, of which fright took first place. Emotion was felt to be most important in young adult females. Trauma was included as an exciting cause of idiopathic epilepsy, second only to psychic causes in frequency, and not “organic epilepsy” in most cases where it results in no lesion. Of the acute infections, Gowers singled out scarlet fever, which he considered especially neurotoxic. Gowers also pointed to “reflex causes” by which he meant causes mediated by irritation of peripheral nerves, visceral or external, and these can excite convulsions which may continue as persistent epilepsy: pain, digestive derangement, or an “anomalous or indigestible meal … In many cases of tubercular meningitis, the first symptom is a convulsion apparently induced by an indigestible meal.”

The “organic epilepsies” (as opposed to “idiopathic epilepsies”) were defined as the epilepsies associated with the “many organic diseases of the brain,” which Gowers does not go on to list. These are today what would be called the symptomatic epilepsies, and did not attract much interest in Gowers’ time.

Finally, Gowers made a unique contribution to “cause” in epilepsy with his theory that the malady is self-perpetuating; when one attack has occurred, whether as the result of an immediate excitant or not, others follow either without any immediate cause, or after some very trifling disturbance … The search for the causes of epilepsy must thus be chiefly an investigation into the conditions with precede the occurrence of the first fit.

This concept ("seizures beget seizures") continues to stimulate debate.

Heredity as a cause of epilepsy 1857–1907: degeneration, and the neurological taint

As was made clear by Gowers, heredity was considered a leading etiological influence of the time. To understand what was meant by “heredity” in this period, the central importance of two related concepts, “degeneration” and the “neurological taint,” must be appreciated.

In the early and mid nineteenth century, particularly amongst French writers, the concept of degeneration (dégénérescence) replaced the supernatural as the main focus of interest in the causation of epilepsy. Theories of degeneration can be traced back to Ancient Greece, but formal scientific study developed in relation to the concept of speciation. Buffon the French naturalist suggested that living forms could be subject to degeneration (Buffon 1780), and the theme was taken up in relation to art, social science, and politics in the Romantic Movement. In the clinical field, the French psychiatrists, with their practice rooted in institutions, began to develop theories relating to mental and physical degeneration in mental disorders. In 1857 and 1860, Bénédict Morel published his two classic books on the degenerations of the human species and degenerations in mental disorders (Morel 1857, 1860) and these books became standard texts and were widely influential in medicine and beyond. Medical conjectures of degeneration were really part of much wider public concerns about social disintegration and the collapsing state of European cultural identity. There were fears that the rapid urbanization and population
growth amongst the peasant and lower classes would sap national intelligence and morality. This was also reflected in the artistic movements of the time, and in studies of criminality and social science. Linked to ideas of dégénérescence was the concept that there existed a neuropathic taint (also known by various other terms including neurotantal taint, neuropathic trait, neuropathic predisposition). According to this theory, a wide range of conditions including epilepsy were inherited together. These conditions were not well defined, and different authorities incorporated different categories, but at their core these included, in addition to epilepsy: insanity, psychiatric disorders of various types, mental retardation, general paralysis of the insane, and locomotor ataxy; also moral degeneration such as was found in alcoholics or the criminal; and sexual degeneration evinced by masturbation, perversion, and sexual excess. This belief was widely accepted amongst neurologists, but Jackson earlier had opposed the idea of mixing up conditions with "no evident pathological connection." According to Morel, this inherited tendency resulted in a progressive deterioration (degeneration) physically, mentally, and morally, over generations, and this tendency becomes progressively more severe, eventually resulting in the extinction of the line. At about the same time Jacques Joseph Moreau, a student of Esquirol, published his influential text La Psychologie morpboide (1859) in which he introduced the category of the "neuropathic family," in which hereditary mental disorders were passed down the ancestral line. Epilepsy was central to both Morel and Moreau's writings, and was at the core of the "degenerative endowment." According to these theories, the endowment might for instance cause mild hysteria in one generation, then a more serious epilepsy in the next, and dementia or idiocy in the next. The topic was further developed by Valentine Magnan, a pupil of Morel, and Jules Falret (1864), and ultimately by Charles Féré who divided the "neuropathic family" into a psychopathological arm which included epilepsy and the major psychiatric disorders, and a neuropathological arm which included chorea, migraine, and Parkinson's disease (Féré 1884).

Interestingly, Moreau also included "genius" as a neuropathic feature, and believed that there was a "community of origin" for genius and madness. This was a concept which had its origins at least since Robert Burton's Anatomy of Melancholy published in 1621, and was to presage the work of Lombroso who wrote "The creative power of genius may be in the form of degenerative psychosis belonging to the family of epileptic affections" (Lombroso 1889), and later similar pronouncements by Spratling and other stalwarts of the epilepsy establishment.

In parallel to the studies of mental degeneration were investigations of physical stigmata, and particularly physiognomy (Fig. 1.5). The study of physiognomy had a long tradition dating from Ancient Greece, and was considered so subversive that it was banned from university study in England in 1551 by Henry VIII. As a topic of social and medical interest, it had a resurgence in the 1770s following the work of Casper Lavater and Sir Thomas Browne. In psychiatry, an important landmark was the publication of Mental Maladies by Jean-Étienne Dominique Esquirol (1838) who found that the insane and the retarded had specific physical appearances which reflected their degenerative taint. Moreau, Falret, and Magnan developed these concepts further.

The notion of degeneration was also linked in this period to the concept of atavism, which had biological plausibility given the theory of recapitulation popularized by Haeckel in 1866 ("Ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny," a theory actually first proposed by Serres in 1824). Degeneration was thought to bring out atavistic characteristics (physical, behavioral, and mental) which were therefore the signs of the degenerative tendency. Epilepsy was seen as one symptom of degeneration, atavistic in nature, in the progressive downward degenerative spiral.

By the end of the nineteenth century almost all writings on the inheritance of epilepsy (of which there were a great number) accepted this concept. Amongst the major writers of the time, Echeverria (1873) reported a heritability rate of epilepsy in 25%, Déjerine (1886) of 66.8% when including other conditions of the neurological taint, Binswanger of 36.3% (1899), and Spratling (1904) of 56.0%. Turner (1907; see below) whose thinking on the topic was a great deal clearer than others, wrote: "in order to ascertain how far definitely neuropathic maladies play a part in the causation of epilepsy, the following table has been constructed to show the percentage frequency of the three main hereditary factors in the ancestral history of epileptics, viz. epilepsy, insanity, and parental alcoholism" (Table 1.3).

Cesare Lombroso

The theories of the neurological taint and degeneration evolved furthest with the writings of Cesare Lombroso on criminality. Lombroso was a physician and psychiatrist by training, and is credited with the first scientific writings on criminality. His scientific method was "measurement" of both physical and mental features. His most enduring work was L'uomo delinquente (Criminal Man; published in five editions between 1876 and 1896/7) and Criminal Woman (La donna delinquente e la prostituta et la donna normale) (Lombroso and Ferraro, 1893) which are packed with statistical tables of numerous measurements (this cult of anthropomorphic measurement was pioneered by Galton and Pearson, became a fundamental tool of the eugenics movement, and culminated in the anthropometry of the Nazi physicians). In these works, epilepsy was linked to criminality (an idea explored most fully in the fourth edition of L'uomo delinquente in 1889), a concept already widely written about in the previous decades (for instance by Echeverria and Maudsley). Lombroso's theory of criminality was based on the demonstration that two-thirds of dangerous criminal individuals were "born criminals" who inherited a criminal trait and possessed "anomalies" (physical and psychological) resembling the traits of primitive man and animals (and even plants).
Fig. 1.5. The “faces of epileptics” from the work of Cesare Lombroso, illustrating his physiognomic research. Lombroso (1835–1909) was professor of forensic medicine and hygiene and later professor of psychiatry and criminal anthropology in Turin.
Thus criminals were atavistic throwbacks to a primitive stage in human evolution. In his earlier work, he linked criminals with the insane and later with alcoholics, but in the fourth edition of his book turned his attention to epilepsy. He expressed the view that epilepsy was an atavistic characteristic and a fundamental component of the criminal type. He supported this by showing that criminals and epileptics shared the same physiognomy, physical and psychological features, and moral deficiency (Lombroso’s list from the fourth and fifth editions of L’uomo delinquente is shown in Table 1.4). Lombroso held the same view about epilepsy in females (although the prevalence of crime was less, due to the fact that the female cortex “although as irritable as men’s in its motor centre, is much less so in the psychological centres, precisely because there are fewer of these”). Moral insanity, criminality, and epilepsy were closely linked in women, as in men, and as Lombroso wrote about female criminals “I have always been able to find the signs of epilepsy, as in male born criminals.” Overall, he wrote that 26.9% of all epileptic men and 25% of all epileptic women have a “full criminal type” from the physiognomic point of view.

Lombroso went further, and suggested that some criminals exhibited “hidden epilepsy” (epilessia larvata) manifest by “sharp, sudden outbursts … the psychological equivalents of physical seizures, marked by unpredictability and ferocity”; and that this hidden epilepsy was responsible for criminal acts, especially acts of physical or sexual violence (this notion became widely accepted, and a classic example of hidden epilepsy, as in male born criminals. Thus, Lombroso also associated epilepsy with genius (at one point he wrote that all geniuses were epileptic). The association with criminality and genius, two extremes of behavior, was an attempt to explain deviation from the norm in biological terms. Lombroso was a liberal and respected thinker, the leading Italian intellectual of his time, and this view of epilepsy reflected the mountain of stigma which epilepsy carried at the time. His work was widely discussed by the general public. It formed the basis of famous novels (not least by Huysmans and Zola, whom Lombroso even argued was epileptic himself). Lombroso’s theories of criminality had a profound influence on social theory for at least the next half century, and his lasting legacies are the medicalization of aberrant behaviors and the demonstration that social behavior had a biological basis. These themes have been the focus of research ever since; perhaps no more so than now.

### Reflex theories of causation

The term reflex epilepsy also has its roots buried deeply in the historical thought on epilepsy. Galen referred to “sympathetic” epilepsy in which the cause was outside the nervous system and similar concepts have been long prevalent. Marshall Hall

---

**Table 1.3** The table constructed by Turner (1907) showing “The percentage frequency of epilepsy, insanity and alcoholism as hereditary factors in the causation of epilepsy”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Déjerine (1886)</th>
<th>Binswanger (1899)</th>
<th>Spratling (1904)</th>
<th>Doran (1903)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insanity</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental alcoholism</td>
<td>51.6%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.4** Lombroso’s list of anomalies shared by epileptics and criminals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skull</th>
<th>Abnormally large, microcephaly, asymmetric (12–37%), sclerosis, med. occ. fossetta, abnormal indices, large orbital arches, low sloping forehead, wormian bones, simple cranial sutures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Face</td>
<td>Overdeveloped jaw, jutting cheekbones, large jug ears, facial asymmetry, strabismus, virility (in women), anomalous teeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>Anomalous convolutions, low weight, hypertrophied cerebellum, symptoms of meningitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Asymmetrical torso, prehensile feet, hernia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Wrinkles, beardlessness, olive skin, tattoos, delayed gray hair/balding, dark and curly hair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor anomalies</td>
<td>Left-handedness (10%), abnormal reflexes, heightened agility (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory anomalies</td>
<td>Tactile insensitivity (81%), insensitivity to pain, overly acute eyesight, dullness of hearing, taste, and smell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological anomalies (% in epileptics)</td>
<td>Limited intelligence (30–69%), weak memory (14–91%), hallucinations (20–41%), superstitious, blunted emotions, love of animals, absence of remorse, impulsivity (2–50%), cannibalism and ferocity, pederasty (2–39%), masturbation (21–67%), perversion (15–57%), vanity, sloth, passion for gaming, mania/paranoia, delirium, dizziness, delusions of grandeur (1–3%), irascibility (30–100%), lying (7–100%), theft (4–75%), religious delusions (14–100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causes</td>
<td>Heredity (of alcoholism, insanity, epilepsy, old parents), alcoholism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: List is from editions 4 and 5, with percentages quoted by Lombroso from his own work or that of Cividalli, Toninini, and Bianchi.
and Brown-Sequard preceded Jackson in exploring reflex mechanisms, and Reynolds and Jackson widely discussed the "reflex" theories of causation of epilepsy. The interest in "reflex seizures" in those days was a general interest in the possibility of reflexes underlying epilepsy, rather than in the narrow meaning of reflex seizures today. According to Jackson, "irritation" (of various types) could trigger seizures by draining the cerebral centers of their energy. The irritation could arise in the periphery, ears, eyes, teeth, digestive tract, or sexual organs. These conditions were sometimes classified as "sympathetic epilepsies" – in the sense that they were due not to a primary disorder of the brain but rather to a systemic irritation that triggered a seizure.

In the latter part of the century, a particular and common reflex cause was considered to be eyestrain, particularly in the American literature. Treatment was with eyeglasses and tenotomy (this is well discussed by Friedlander 2001). Other reflexes were induced by pain in a limb, by genital stimulation, and by pathologies in the ear or nose. Gowers in 1881 and 1901 includes pain and gastrointestinal disturbance within his category of reflex epilepsy, but nothing else. Turner (1907) recommended surgical excision of traumatic lesions of the peripheral nerves, removal of a tight prepuce in boys, treatment of coexistent diseases of the ears or nasopharynx and removal of foreign bodies, adenoid growths, and polypi to remove the reflex stimuli. He also mentioned that errors of refraction could be corrected and glasses worn, in view of the dramatic results of such treatment by Dodds, Gould, and Féret, but one senses a lack of enthusiasm about this senseless therapy.

Perhaps because of these obvious absurdities, the reflex theories fell out of fashion in the early twentieth century. However, Pavlov’s demonstration of conditioned reflexes reigned interest in the possibility of epilepsy being a reflex phenomenon and Pavlov’s theories were favored as the pathogenic mechanism, for instance, in the influential paper on musicoencephal epilepsy by MacDonald Critchley (President of the International League Against Epilepsy [ILAE], 1949–53) in 1937. As time passed, the term reflex epilepsy began to refer to very specific sensory precipitants and acquired a meaning not dissimilar to that of today, referring largely to rare and curious cases.

Auto-intoxication
By 1900, a second widely held explanatory model of causation was gaining momentum – the theory of "auto-intoxication." According to this theory, epileptic seizures were caused by toxins produced within the person’s own body (not dissimilar to Galen’s theory of humors). Most believed that these toxins arose in the bowel, either through fermentation or from bacteria. This was backed up by reports for instance of sigmoidoscopy showing “acute angulation of the sigmoid colon” and “impaction of the sigmoid of an inordinate character” (Axtell 1910), and by radiological examination with bismuth showing “coloptosis” (Clark and Busby 1913; cited by Friedlander 2001). Experiments, which included the injections of blood from epileptic patients into rabbits, or intraperitoneally in guinea pigs, producing “violent convulsions,” gave further credence to the auto-intoxication theories. Amongst the toxins actually responsible, much was written about uric acid. As a response to these theories, it was a short step to colectomy as a treatment of epilepsy, discussed further below.

Organic brain disease
During this period, the focus on theories of causation of epilepsy was not on organic brain diseases as such, but on predisposing and exciting factors, on Jackson’s emphasis on mechanisms (vascular and nutritive), and on theories of inheritance, degeneration, reflex epilepsy, and auto-intoxication. Indeed, the epilepsies due to organic diseases of the brain (organic epilepsy; symptomatic epilepsy as it is known today) were often considered not “true” epilepsy. All however recognized that cerebral disease could cause epilepsy, and indeed following Jackson that its location determined the nature of the epilepsy. This lack of interest partly reflected the lack of investigatory tools (only postmortem and surgical neuropathology provided any help here) and also the lack of a systematic classification of the degenerative and particularly pediatric conditions. Neuropathology had identified, however, a number of organic disorders that were shown to have some sort of causal relationship with epilepsy. Of these, widely accepted were the developmental disorders (including porencephaly, heterotopy, microcephaly, and brain hypertrophy), asphyxia at birth, infantile hemiplegia and cerebral palsy, brain tumors, cerebral trauma causing a cicatrix, cerebral infection such as abscess, and degenerative conditions resulting in softening of the brain or other pathological findings.

William Aldren Turner 1907
Turner published his classic text on epilepsy in 1907 (Turner 1907) and devoted two chapters to the topic of etiology. These represented the advanced opinion of the day.

First discussed was heredity. Turner (Fig. 1.6) pointed out the difficulties in ascertaining this, citing problems in obtaining family histories and the inclusion of conditions which “do not stand in any causal relation to epilepsy, but are merely thrown in occasional connection with it, such as, tuberculosis, gout, and rheumatism.” Turner differentiates these latter conditions from those of the “neuropathic disposition.” However, Turner found that the most common feature of the neuropathic trait in an ancestral line of epileptics was epilepsy itself. Amongst his 676 epileptic patients, he found that 37.2% had a family history of epilepsy, and only 3.1% a family history of alcoholism, 5.4% of insanity, and 5.3% a family history of other neurological disorders of relevance (“nervousness,” migraine, deaf-mutism, etc.); 49.0% had no known heredity factor. As Turner wrote:
Although epilepsy and insanity are the two main elements of the psychopathic hereditary degeneration, the existence in the family history of hysteria, chorea, the drug habit, migraine and paroxysmal headache, are important not so much from any direct bearing which they may have upon the development of epilepsy, but as indications, to some extent, of the neuropathic tendencies of a family. We find such disorders not uncommon in the family and personal histories of epileptics but it is difficult to prove that their occurrence is specially frequent.

The signs or "stigmata" of degeneration detected by Turner in his epileptic patients included: facial deformities (unequalities of the two sides of the face, irregularities of the nose, prognathism or arrested development of the lower jaw), deformities of the hard palate, dental abnormalities, deformities of the ears, deformities of the iris, abnormal arms, mental aberrations, stammering, and astigmatism. Amongst his own patients, the frequency of such stigmata was 66.5%, and Turner believed these signs were evidence of the "neuropathic disposition."

As he wrote in conclusion:

it is therefore obvious that in the majority of cases of epilepsy, no external exciting cause of the disease is necessary. Many conjectural explanations are given by the patient or his friends . . . [e.g. trivial head injury, sunstroke] . . . the real explanation is to be found in the rapid brain growth during the first few years of life, the onset of puberty and the full development of the reproductive organs, in persons anatomically predisposed by heredity to nervous instability and convulsions . . . It has also been shown that structural stigmata of degeneration, more particularly of the face, teeth, palate and ears, are frequent phenomena in the subjects of epilepsy, and that their presence is of great importance in determining, not only the degree of inherited predisposition, but also the severity of the disease.

Turner considered that the majority of cases were due to this "predisposition" (usually hereditary) and that an "exciting cause" was present in a minority of cases. The common "determining causes of epilepsy" (the exciting causes) were in his experience:

(1) Physiological causes – puberty, menses, pregnancy, puerperium, lack of sleep, ingestion of certain foods.
(2) Psychical causes – shock, emotional excitement, fear, anxiety, overwork.
(3) Pathological causes – exanthemata and acute infective diseases, organic diseases of the brain and trauma to the head, reflex epilepsies due to morbid conditions of various other organs, auto-infection from the alimentary canal, disorders of bodily metabolism and cerebral palsy.

In Turner’s personal case series, psychical causes accounted for 4.1%, head trauma for 7.2%, acute infective causes for 5.6%, syphilis for 0.4%, and "cerebral birth palsy" for 5.9%. Another important thread within Turner’s conception of cause was the fact that once a fit had occurred, an "epileptic habit" is in danger of developing and thereafter fits occur even in the absence of any exciting cause – and here he is following Gowers. Because of this, early and immediate therapy was mandatory, and as Turner showed, many cases of early epilepsy if treated promptly do not go on to develop a chronic condition.

### Theories of the causation of epilepsy 1907–1960

In the early part of this period, there were few lasting contributions to the study of etiology in epilepsy. The world wars possibly represented greater challenges to the ingenuity of humankind. In the world of epilepsy, therapeutic advances greatly outstripped interest in causation – and this was a period of major discovery in the fields of antiepileptic drugs and also neurosurgical therapy.

#### Auto-intoxication

In the early part of this period, interest in the auto-intoxication theory of causation gained momentum and in particular the view that epilepsy (and other conditions such as psychosis) was the symptom of low-grade infection, somewhere in the body. The gastrointestinal tract was the favored site and surgical resection of various parts of the gastrointestinal tract began to flourish. One illustrative, if extreme, enthusiast was the psychiatrist Dr. Henry Cotton who became superintendent of Trenton State Hospital in 1907, a residential institution for mentally handicapped, epileptic, or psychotic patients. He decided to
Epilepsy as a result of lesional cerebral disease

This also was the period when neurosurgical pathology was being systematized and when imaging was beginning to visualize the brain in vivo. X-ray imaging was applied to epilepsy in the first decade of the twentieth century and in 1919 air encephalography followed and then in 1925 contrast ventriculography. In parallel, neurosurgery began to expand, based now not only on clinical semiology but also the results of these investigations.

An emphasis began to be placed on organic theories of causation, and neuropathology and neurosurgery began to reclaim epilepsy as a lesional disease of the brain, at least in Anglo-Saxon practice (it is interesting to note how the pendulum was swinging away from heredity in Britain and the USA, and in the opposite direction in Germany, France and Italy). A landmark in this surgical perspective was Walter Dandy’s work, The Brain, published in Dean Lewis’ Practice of Surgery in 1932 (Dandy 1932). Dandy took a very surgical viewpoint:

Epilepsy is always regarded as an idiopathic disease. The theories of its causation are indeed so numerous as to reflect seriously upon any exclusive stand concerning its etiology or pathology. However, the writer is confident that there is now assembled from experimental, pathologic, clinical and surgical studies a sufficient number of unquestioned facts to place epilepsy unequivocally upon a pathologic instead of idiopathic basis . . . the fundamental conception that in every case of epilepsy there is a lesion of the brain can no longer admit of doubt . . . The lesions causing epilepsy are most varied. Although superficially of such dissimilar character, fundamentally they act in the same way, i.e., each represents a defect in the nervous paths of the cerebral hemisphere.

He recognized 17 categories of “lesions causing epilepsy” (Table 1.5) which seem by today’s standards a rather curious mélange, but no doubt reflected advanced neurosurgical opinion of his time.

The emphasis on the organic basis of epilepsy was systematized in the classic neurological text of the mid 1930s, the three-volume textbook of neurology by SA Kinnier Wilson, published posthumously in 1940 (Wilson 1940) (Fig. 1.7). Seventy-five pages are devoted to The Epilepsies, and these provide a glimpse of the contemporary Anglo-Saxon thought on the topic, and show the same organic tendencies as Dandy: “Current opinion is . . . veering round to the view that all epilepsies are symptomatic, inclusive of the variety [idiopathic epilepsy] whose basis still elude search . . . the cause will eventually be revealed.” Wilson makes the first reference I can find to the term “cryptogenic” which he feels is preferable to refer to epilepsies of unknown cause.

Regarding etiology, Wilson averred from listing all the known causes – as he put it, this “would be an act of supererogation” – but singled out a few for discussion. On the question of heredity, he is interesting, for this was the time that eugenics was having a major impact on social policy in many parts of the world, and about to reach its ghastly climax in Germany. Wilson thought that inherited epilepsy was uncommon. Myerson (1932) had published an influential survey of the heredity of mental disorders, and Wilson cites his findings that there was a family history of epilepsy amongst 1500 inmates of a hospital for epileptics in only four families (11 persons), and at the same institution 138 marriages of epileptics resulted in 553 offspring among whom a history of fits was got in only 10 or 1.8%. As Wilson put it: “The influence of the factor [heredity] is persistently overvalued; in only about one-fifth of my material has it seemed to be operative.” Head trauma is extensively discussed, and Wilson cited a 1920s survey of the UK Ministry of Pension of 18 000 persons with gunshot wounds of the head, finding an incidence of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1.5 Dandy’s 17 categories of brain lesions causing epilepsy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congenital malformation and maldevelopment, either general or focal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abscesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubercles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gummata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syphilis with or without demonstrable gummata or vascular occlusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areas of cerebral degeneration and calcification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamartomata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries from trauma at birth or subsequently (focal or general)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connective tissue formation after trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrophy of the brain after trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombosis and embolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral arteriosclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequelae of obscure inflammatory processes including encephalitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
post-traumatic epilepsy of only 4.5%. In civilian cases, he cites figures of between 3% and 21%. He dismisses the importance of “bad teeth, septic tonsils, nasal polypi, refractive errors, phimosis, intestinal worm, and what not,” but mentions seizures during anesthesia (“ether convulsions”), pleural epilepsy (now we would consider this vasovagal), and cysticercosis (an imperil disease, as he noted that “although infestation may occur in England, the majority contracted the disease in Egypt, India or the Malay states”). He discusses precipitating factors such as cosmic influences (dismissed), sleep, menstrual epilepsy and pregnancy (including eclampsia) and psychical states.

Wilson’s influence was evident in all subsequent textbooks, at least until 1960. By 1949, for instance, another standard work, Neurology by F. M. R. Walsh (also neurologist to the National Hospital Queen Square and who was appointed editor of Epilepsia in 1959/60) could state:

it is better to speak of “the epilepsies” according to the various known exciting factors than to keep the category of idiopathic epilepsy. Nevertheless, from the practical point of view of diagnosis and treatment the two categories of “idiopathic” and “symptomatic” epilepsy remain useful . . . It has always been regarded as a heritable condition, though it obeys no known laws of inheritance, and it is probably that what is inherited – if anything be – is an instability of function in the cells of the cerebral cortex.

As Walsh put it, “nothing is known of the ‘exciting’ causes of idiopathic epilepsy,” and “certainly the heritable causes of epilepsy have been greatly exaggerated in the past, and in consequence severe restrictions upon the liberty of conduct of the epileptic have been imposed in the guise of medical advice” (Walsh 1949). Symptomatic epilepsy “may occur as a symptom of a wide range of diseases of the brain.” Walsh singles out head injury, cystercerosis, and cortical venous angioma.

This was a period too when the causes of inherited metabolic diseases of the nervous system began to yield their secrets, as a result of advances in clinical chemistry. The earliest to do so was phenylketonuria. The discovery of the metabolic cause of this hereditary condition was the result of painstaking research by the Norwegian physician Ivar Asbjørn Følling in 1934. He found abnormal acid substances in the blood and urine of two affected siblings, screened others in children near Oslo and found eight other patients. After extensive tests, he discovered that the substance was phenylpyruvic acid, and in 1947 the metabolic defect was unravelled (Christ 2003). This superb research work stimulated similar researches in other disorders and the new discipline of inherited metabolic disease arose.

Eugenics
In parallel with the rational and organic views of causation of epilepsy, theories of heredity and degeneration continued to be influential, and out of these came the eugenics movement which played a major role in epileptology in the early twentieth century. Eugenics of course had the advantage of the discovery of Mendelian genetics which was not available to the earlier writers (although Mendel’s work was published first in 1866, it was only in the first years of the twentieth century that it became widely appreciated). Many of the early ILAE leaders were active eugenicists, such as Weeks, Munson, Schou, and Lennox. Eugenics permeated not only medical but also social thought and became the “scientific” basis for the Nazi atrocities of the Second World War. Persons with epilepsy were of course extremely vulnerable to eugenic practice, given the theories of degeneration, atavism, and criminality associated
with the disease and the stigma of the disease in the public mind, and the undeniable genetic basis in many cases. Eugenic thought permeated many scientific disciplines of the period and influenced social policy. Eugenic solutions were proposed to all the social evils thought to reflect the degeneration of populations, the decline in the civilized social order, the tendencies to atavism, and the downward spiral of morality. Epilepsy became a focus for the eugenics movement, as did insanity, mental deficiency, alcoholism, and racial differences.

According to eugenic theory, epilepsy was inherited by Mendelian mechanisms, and thus by either positive or negative eugenic practices could potentially be removed (or at least minimized) from a population (the evidence of simple Mendelian inheritance is slight now and was slight then, but was glossed over, and the eugenic concepts of heredity were staggeringly simplistic). Enforced sterilization of epileptics was first enacted in the USA (a famous case was that of *Buck v. Bell*, in which Judge Wendell Holmes confirmed the legality of sterilization with the words: “It is better for all the world, if instead of waiting to execute degenerate offspring for crime or to let them starve for their imbecility, society can prevent those who are manifestly unfit from continuing their kind . . . Three generations of imbeciles are enough”), and followed in several continental countries. In Nazi Germany, eugenic theory was taken further, and mass murder of the unfit (including epileptics) was sanctioned. In the early 1940s, *Action T4*, a program of killings (“euthanasia”) of the handicapped was inaugurated. Physicians provided the names, and the victims were gassed or poisoned. It has been estimated that between 200,000 and 250,000 mentally and physically handicapped persons were murdered from 1939 to 1945 under the *Action T4* and other “euthanasia” programs. How many persons with epilepsy perished is not known. When the war was over, eugenicists tried to distance the scientific study of eugenics from these events, but the clear link shamed the topic into scientific obscurity, at least for the next 50 years.

**Electroencephalography, hippocampal sclerosis, and temporal lobe epilepsy**

As is self-evident, the discovery of “etiology” in epilepsy is heavily dependent on new technology. In the early post-war years, the major methodological advance in the field of epilepsy was of course the electroencephalograph (EEG). The first human EEG recording was published in 1929 by Hans Berger, a German psychiatrist in Jena, but Berger was considered an outcast by his German colleagues and in 1941 committed suicide when the Nazi government removed him from his university post. Berger had coined the term *Elektenkephalogram*, defined the alpha rhythm (the “Berger rhythm”), and in 1933 recorded a partial seizure. His discovery passed initially unnoticed until Adrian and Matthews (1934) confirmed his observations in a celebrated paper in *Brain*. Then the potential of EEG in epilepsy became apparent and rapid advances were made. Fischer and Lowenbach (1934) demonstrated epileptiform spikes, Gibbs, Davis, and Lennox (1935) described interictal spike waves and the three cycles per second pattern of clinical absence seizures, and in 1936 Gibbs and co-workers reported the interictal spike as the signature of focal epilepsy. Electroencephalography, it appeared in these exciting times, was a method of visualizing physiology and it was enthusiastically applied to the discovery of the hidden causes of epilepsy. An immediate discovery was the EEG signature of absence seizures, which from the point of view of discovery of structural causes was in a way unfortunate, as absence seizures are of course a paradigmatic example of idiopathic epilepsy (although, later genetic studies were greatly assisted by the EEG signature of 3 Hz spike and wave as a biomarker of etiology). The EEG changes in brain tumors and other structural lesions were also recognized, but these proved disappointingly non-specific and the diagnostic utility of EEG was soon largely obliterated by the discovery of computed tomography (CT) scanning.

However, EEG proved invaluable in defining temporal lobe epilepsy and in this way led to the recognition of the etiological importance in epilepsy of hippocampal sclerosis. A key player in this regard was Henri Gastaut (Fig. 1.8), who held a landmark conference on the topic of temporal lobe epilepsy in 1953 (Gastaut 1953; Shorvon 2006). The (ictal and interictal) features of temporal lobe epilepsy had been unraveled in the 1940s, and the findings were summarized in Gastaut’s papers for the 1956 meeting. Fifty years later, there is really very little to add, and what knowledge has been accrued in this interval is incremental at best. He reported the EEG findings from scalp recordings, electrocorticography, and depth recordings. In regards to underlying pathology, Gastaut clearly recognized that hippocampal sclerosis was often the causal lesion, contrary...
to the classic opinion of Spielmeyer, still widely held at that time. However, he did not recognize the association with febrile seizures. He believed that trauma was the commonest cause of temporal lobe epilepsy, resulting in contusional damage to the brain as it was compressed against the sphenoid bone or the free edge of the tentorium. He viewed encephalitis as the second most frequent cause (20–25% of cases). The third cause (5% of cases) was obstetrical injury, which in Gastaut’s view resulted in herniation of the temporal lobe over the tentorial edge, causing vascular compression of the anterior choroidal (and other) arteries. The consequential ischemia was thought to be responsible for incisural sclerosis.

Not everyone accepted at that time that the mesial temporal structures were the site of temporal lobe seizures, and surprisingly (given his prominence in the field of EEG) Gibbs believed the seizures originated in temporal neocortex and this was the subject of fierce debate. By 1953, Jasper could write that, from the physiological point of view, “the periamygdaloid and rhinecephalic portions of the temporal lobe, including the extent of the hippocampal gyr and often the pes hippocampi, are nearly always severely affected in patients with temporal lobe seizures.” The surgical treatment of temporal lobe epilepsy initially was confined to resection to the temporal neocortex, but within a few years, it was fully recognized that the mesial structures needed to be resected to effect a cure (see de Almeida et al. 2008; Moran and Shorvon 2009) and the work of Penfield and Murray Falconer reported at the 1953 congress showed how far knowledge had advanced. Perhaps from the clinical point of view, the only really fundamental subsequent clinical advance was the simple visualization of hippocampal atrophy by volumetric magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Jack et al. 1990; Cook et al. 1992; Shorvon et al. 1992). The experimental elucidation of the neurochemical and neurophysiological mechanisms of excitotoxic brain damage had to wait a further decade until the seminal work of Meldrum and colleagues in the 1970s (Meldrum and Brierley 1973; Meldrum and Horton 1973; Meldrum et al. 1973).

William Lennox

This brings this survey to 1960, and the publication by Lennox of his two-volume book – *Epilepsy and Related Disorders* (Lennox 1960) (Fig. 1.9). Lennox wrote extensively about the then current theories of etiology and his book is a good source of information on this topic. Lennox of course was a committed eugenicist and deeply interested in the genetic predisposition to seizures. His book provides a relatively clear explanation of his views on “causation” which can be summarized as follows:

1. Epilepsy is due to a combination of: (i) genetic (essential) causes; (ii) acquired causes; and (iii) precipitating causes.
2. Of these the genetic causes are the most important. As Lennox put it, “we personally believe that nature outnumbers nurture. The relative importance of the latter is decreasing because of better control of preventable conditions.”

He followed his nineteenth-century predecessors in proposing that in many cases (50% in Lennox’s view) there were “predisposing” (genetic) and “precipitating” (acquired) causes, and indeed draws an analogy with fire in exactly the same way as Sieveking (Lennox 1960, vol. 1, p. 528). However, it is his “analogy of the reservoir or river” (Fig. 1.10) which encapsulates his thought best, and it is worth quoting directly from Lennox:

> Causes may be represented as the sources of a reservoir. At the bottom is the already present volume of water, which represents the person’s predisposition, a fundamental cause. But the reservoir is supplied also by streams which represent the contributory conditions, such as lesions of the brain acquired since conception, certain disorders of bodily function and emotional disturbances. Periodic overflow of the bank represents a seizure.

Another graphic description is the portrayal of the sources of the river (Fig. 1.11).

The genetic watershed is represented … as three generations: parents, grandchildren and great-grandchildren [e.g. at A, a paternal grandmother has epilepsy]. A confluence of transmitted traits follows into (and through) the patient … In addition to these branching streams, there is an independent stream which rises in a lake (the uterus). The outlet is the birth canal and below that are contributing streams: infections [e.g. at B, a viral encephalitis], brain trauma from diverse sources, brain tumor, and circulatory disorders. This side stream enters the main stream at the patient level and combines with the genetic influences which had travelled through three generations to make him epileptic. There is then a third stream which enters below the confluence of the two main streams. This represents transient conditions which may precipitate certain seizures in a person already epileptic, or “all set” to be. This evoking circumstance may be physiologic (say at C, hypoglycaemia) or emotional (say at D, a broken wedding-engagement).

According to Lennox, about 20% of epilepsies are purely genetic, 20% are purely acquired, and about 50% are a mixture of both (leaving 10% in which the cause is quite unknown). The genetic epilepsies were predominant, and in his words “Evidence for this [genetic causation] is relatively simple and convincing: namely, some blood relative who has been subject to seizures which were not the consequence of some acquired brain injury.” From a modern perspective, it is a pity that the heredity is not as simple as that. Lennox carried out research based on family trees, much as his eugenic predecessors had done, and particularly on twins.

The acquired causes, less important in Lennox’s view than the genetic ones, included a variety of conditions, listed in Table 1.6.

In the category of acquired epilepsy (organic epilepsy), Lennox included developmental defects, but realized that there were strong genetic links (“it would be the channel that joins the genetic river system to the uterine lake”). Lennox used the
term “hereditary organic epilepsy” to describe inherited genetic conditions that result in structural disorders of the brain. Included here were: mongolism, tuberose sclerosis (epiloia), and various malformations of development.

Lennox also realized that there were other considerations. He cites the use of the term “parahereditary” by continental authors to indicate conditions that are not strictly speaking genetic, but which can alter the sperm or the ovum – and here includes alcohol, syphilis, infections, and intoxications. These were essentially Lamarckian theories which were important in understanding some of the mechanisms of degeneration with which Lennox had considerable residual sympathy despite the by then growing evidence of the Nazi misuse of eugenic and genetic theories.

Finally, Lennox was also interested in the causes and features of mental handicap. He cited Yannet (1950) who categorized mental handicap in his institution as:

(A) Familial defect – 30% of all cases (“probably dependent on multiple dominant genes”)
(B) Phenylpyruvic oligophrenia
(C) Congenital ectodermosis (“these include tuberose sclerosis, neurofibromatosis, cerebral angiomaticis”)
(D) Hereditary idiocy (“fortunately rare”)
(E) Heredodegenerative cerebral diseases, which are of two groups: (i) the ganglion cells are principally affected (e.g. infantile Tay–Sachs disease) and (ii) white matter is principally affected (e.g., Scholz, Krabe, and Schilder disease); 45% of all cases.

Lennox also mentions that “Then there is mongolism, the etiology of which is in question. 10% of cerebral palsy might be of congenital origin. There are also cretinism and cranial anomalies to consider.” He also cites Penrose (1949), reporting on the English institutional population of 558 patients (Table 1.7).

In Lennox’s own series of 927 patients with acquired epilepsy (out of 1648 persons, 69% office and 31% clinic patients) the distribution of cases is shown in Table 1.8. Where it was possible to assess, 46% of cases developed within 1 year of the causative event, 19% in the subsequent 2 years, and 12% after 10 or more years.
Lennox carried out considerable research himself into the genetic basis of epilepsy, and managed to reconcile his eugenic sympathies with his clinical work. His writings mark the end of an era. In the next few decades the scientific advances in imaging, clinical and molecular biochemistry, and molecular genetics would stimulate research in a variety of new directions. Lennox was extremely influential not least in also establishing American epileptology at the forefront of the discipline, and his influence can be still felt today in his picturesque and rather folksy theories of causation and his views on the “epileptic threshold” and on the multifactorial nature of causation. His genetics though now seems thoroughly outdated, and his eugenic writing is rejected.
Afterthoughts

The purpose of any historical survey should be primarily to put current thought into a proper perspective and to provide a lineage for contemporary ideas. In relation to causation in epilepsy, some general points stand out.

The first is the obvious emphasis on “idiopathic” epilepsy, at the expense of symptomatic epilepsy, at least until the 1930s. This was a period when the assignment of any organic etiology relied on the history and on the pathological findings at operation or postmortem. There were few investigatory methods available, and the lack of emphasis on symptomatic causes was thus in part due to a lack of tools to investigate etiology at a clinical level. It was only with the wider use of neurosurgery that organic etiologies began to take on prominence, and the major early work was that of Walter Dandy, a pioneer neurosurgeon, but his list of etiologies looks peculiar to the modern eye – although his early emphasis on congenital lesions is prescient. Some early studies of “etiology” of such cases (in particular those of Jackson) were focused on the final common pathways of epilepsy in all these cases. Today we make the distinction between the “mechanisms” of epileptogenesis (increased excitation, defects in ion channels, etc.) and the causes of epilepsy, whereas in Jackson’s time this was not the case. There is much to commend in Jackson’s approach, and exactly how causal lesions translate into epilepsy is still largely unknown – the work discussed in Chapter 63 on epilepsy due to gliomas for instance is a model of how we should proceed. However, the focus on how such a wide range of causes results in the same clinical forms of epilepsy (a focus on “mechanisms” rather than “causes”) may be profitable in terms of therapy and certainly it is striking how little we know about any differences in effect of antiepileptic drug therapy in different etiologies.

Linked to the lack of investigatory methods to uncover organic lesions was the emphasis on heredity, using family trees and physiognomic measurements as investigatory tools. There was in this early period a universal agreement that epilepsy had strong hereditary influences. The estimates of the contribution of heredity varied considerably, no doubt partly due to the inclusion of epilepsy within the category of inherited degeneration and the neurological trait. In clinical and family-history studies, the higher rates of an inherited tendency were due to the inclusion of

Table 1.6 Lennox’s list of acquired causes of epilepsy

| Congenital abnormalities – developmental defects |
| Hereditary organic epilepsy |
| Mongolism (fetalism) |
| Hereditary (Huntington’s) chorea |
| Tuberose sclerosis |
| Amaurotic familial idiocy |
| Various other pathologic states |
| Intraterine misfortunes |
| Embryonic versus fetal timing of insult |
| Placental transmission (rubella, toxoplasmosis, erythroblastosis fetalis) |
| Intracranial hemangioma |
| The cerebral palsies |
| Paronatal epilepsy |
| Difficulties of parturition |
| Postnatal epilepsy |
| Infection-derived epilepsy (viral, bacterial, bacillary, spirochetal, protozoan, metazoan) |
| Post-traumatic epilepsy (including lobotomy, the wounds of war) |
| Brain tumors |
| Defects of cerebral circulation |
| Toxins and intoxications (alcohol, ergot, chemical, lead, radiation) |

Table 1.7 Distribution of causes of mental defect amongst 558 patients in an English Institution, and proportion with epilepsy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Percent of total</th>
<th>Percent epileptic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mongolism</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine disorder</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital syphilis</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic lesion</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>46.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial malformations</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Penrose (1949).

Table 1.8 The causes of epilepsy in Lennox’s series of 927 patients with acquired epilepsy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paranatal</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal trauma</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain tumors</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulatory</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other causes</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
insanity, moral degeneration, and alcoholism for instance. It is interesting to note that the theories of a neurological taint mirror in a striking way the current interest in “comorbidities.” Exactly the same types of mental disorder, alcoholism, and dementia are found in contemporary statistical surveys of patients with epilepsy. The fashion for “comorbidity” lacks the unifying theory of a taint or degeneration but in other ways follows the statistically based methods of the early-nineteenth-century physicians closely. Comorbidity is now seldom considered to be genetically based, but it seems to me possible that there are mechanistic links between cryptogenic epilepsy and other neurological diseases (in effect a modern inquiry into the neurological trait), or links between epilepsy and cerebral degenerative mechanisms. Further studies in this area might be well worth conducting, in the hope of uncovering common mechanisms (the Jacksonian meaning of “etiologic”) that may give a clue to the genetic basis of epilepsy and these other conditions. Recent work on genetic copy-number variants is of great interest in this regard, and deletions or duplications might provide a mechanism for inheritance of comorbidities. Certainly, the current genetic inquiry into the causes of epilepsy has had only limited success, focusing as it has done on the artificially determined phenotypes, syndromes, and seizure classifications. A warning from history, though; in the period under study here, the link of epilepsy to degeneration and to mental disorder resulted in enormous stigma culminating in eugenic measures to restrict reproduction and ultimately in the murder of handicapped persons. Whether similar inquiries would now be ethical or wise, given the societal consequences of previous genetic inquiries into epilepsy (stigma, sterilization, extermination), is questionable. Such considerations are never far from the surface.

It is interesting to note too the impact of social influences on theories of etiology. The anxiety in many areas of social theory about degeneration found a reflection in the degenerative theories of the etiology of epilepsy. Similarly, theories of criminality were incorporated into etiological studies of epilepsy. Eugenic research in epilepsy was driven by political and social forces. Science has a social responsibility and is never neutral or objective, a fact often forgotten in the laboratory, and to ignore these can be disastrous, as was the case in the 1930s.

One delusion of neuroscience (and probably other branches of medicine too) is that its contemporary position inevitably is the most scientifically advanced. The awkward reality is that the march of neuroscience has had an erratic course, veering up many culs de sac. Examples are numerous, and include psychoanalysis, theories of hysteria, eugenics and dégénérescence (and more recently some of the work on functional imaging). It has been a trajectory influenced by dominant personalities whose theories are simply wrong, by hubris, by social forces and public fashion – today as much as ever. The evolution of etiological theories of epilepsy illustrates this clearly.

Another rather remarkable feature of “epilepsy” is that the widely used classification schemes do not incorporate etiology. It is often taught, and is a fundamental neurological canon, that epilepsy is a “symptom” not a “condition” but this is not reflected in our classification schemes. This deficiency was recognized too in the period under study, but it was thought, generally, that a comprehensive scheme was not possible. In 1897, Peterson, a leading American epileptologist, wrote: “A classification based strictly on etiology is not possible . . . in the light of present knowledge, but such a classification would be more scientific and valuable [than other types of classification]” (cited by Friedlander 2001). However, with the modern neuroimaging, molecular biology, and genetics perhaps for the first time in the modern history of epilepsy, today such a classification could be useful, and might make far more sense than our current semio logical or EEG classification schemes. One can muse upon what the classification of epilepsy today might look like if EEG had not been invented before neuroimaging – I suspect, a more useful and valid scheme would have been devised. What can be learnt from the older classification schemes? In my view, Reynolds’ was the most interesting, and his concept of eccentric and diathetic epilepsies is superior generally to ours of acute symptomatic epilepsy. In Reynolds’ time, there was little distinction made between “causes” and “precipitating factors” – and much more focus on precipitants than currently. This too is a topic worth reappraisal, not least the genetic mechanisms of precipitants (such as stress, menstrual disturbance). Linked to the issues of precipitation are the theories of reflex causation, which were accepted by Jackson and Gowers, and which too warrant modern assessment.

Clinical neurology is essentially an applied science, and the discovery of etiology is to a large extent methodology-driven. The introduction of clinical chemistry, EEG, neuroimaging, and neurogenetics each has changed our perceptions of etiology. Clinical chemistry and molecular genetics unraveled the causes of most of the Mendelian inherited metabolic epilepsies, EEG led in defining the idiopathic generalized epilepsies and epilepsy syndromes, and neuroimaging technologies diverted attention to the structural cerebral changes, leading to the appreciation of the importance of hippocampal sclerosis and disorders of cortical development for instance. I suspect we are now reaching the limits of detection of visible structural change, and whether further inquiry in this direction will yield useful etiological information is doubtful. The most recent focus is on the genetic basis of epilepsy, and the recognition of the role of ion channel defects (see Chapter 3) is the result of the experimental work. However, whilst genetic research has discovered etiologies in rare families, it has not so far illuminated causation in the majority of cases. However, it remains true that there is almost certainly a significant genetic contribution to the causation of many (perhaps most) cases of epilepsy, even if this is currently undefined. It should not be forgotten that epilepsy is essentially a functional disorder (as Jackson pointed out) and future research into the
neuronal networks, system changes, and neuronal properties in epilepsy seem to hold most promise for future inquiry into epilepsy. This will require new tools and methodologies, which are likely to be in the fields of molecular genetics, chemistry, and physiology. Today, between 30% and 50% of all cases remain “cryptogenic” to use Kinnier Wilson’s term, and the challenge for the next phase of etiological research in epilepsy is surely to understand these functional and molecular mechanisms, and to revert to a concept of etiology, as mechanism, akin to that of Jackson.

References
Binswanger O (1899)
Binswanger O (1899)

Cook MJ, Fish DR, Shorvon SD, Straughan K, Asselin and Houzeau.


Déjerine JJ (1886)


Fére C (1884) *La famille névropathique*. *Archives de Neurologie* 19:9–25.


Myerson AA (1932) *The Inheritance of Mental Diseases*. Baltimore, MD: Williams and Wilkins.

Sievewig E (1861) Epilepsy and Epileptiform Seizures: Their Causes, Pathology and Treatment. London: Churchill.


